

# Maximizing the Benefit of Screening for Adverse Childhood Experiences

Sandra Jee, MD, MPH,<sup>a,b</sup> Heather Forkey, MD<sup>c</sup>

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), first described by Felitti et al<sup>1</sup> in 1998, affect over two-thirds of US adults<sup>2</sup> and have been linked to numerous deleterious longitudinal health, mental health, and social outcomes, including cancer,<sup>3</sup> pulmonary disease,<sup>3</sup> liver disease,<sup>4</sup> autoimmune dysfunction, depression, anxiety, psychopathology, and suicidality.<sup>5</sup> A growing awareness of ACEs' impact on child health has given way to initiatives to screen for ACEs in clinical settings and, especially, in primary care, with the assumption that such screening can ameliorate health outcomes.

In this issue of *Pediatrics*, Loveday et al<sup>6</sup> present results from a systematic review of screening for ACEs in children. The goals of this study were to review the rationale for screening for ACEs and examine impacts of screening on access to referrals and services for identified needs. This is an important clinical question because new efforts to mandate ACEs screening are being adopted in states such as California.<sup>7</sup> Cautions about such implementation have been raised, even by one of the original ACE study authors.<sup>8</sup> Critics have warned that population level ACE questionnaires being used are not validated screening instruments and that they do not have predictive value at the individual level<sup>9</sup> and can induce an expectancy effect, in which identified problems may lead to unnecessarily proactive identification of future toxic stress and resultant problems.<sup>10</sup>

In their systematic review, Loveday et al<sup>6</sup> identified a dearth of studies

relevant to child ACEs screening and point out that none of the studies reported on child or parent mental health outcomes. We know from our previous work that there is an intergenerational effect of ACEs<sup>11</sup> and also a possible hesitancy to answer questions that are sensitive and potentially retraumatizing.<sup>12</sup> Having only 4 studies of this systematic review that meet moderate criteria speaks to the limited evidence for the value of ACEs screening and a lack of evidence that screening improves access or receipt of necessary services. Indeed, although combined in this study, there may be a qualitative difference between screening for a need for resources (broader social determinant of health screening) and for exposure to adversity within the caregiving relationship (eg, abuse, neglect, exposure to intimate partner violence). For the latter, without linking exposure questions with mental health symptom screening, the opportunity to refer appropriately those who could benefit most from evidence-based trauma therapy or other appropriate mental health services may be lost.

As primary care providers for children and families who suffer from the burden of ACEs, we recognize that having a framework for understanding ACEs and identifying symptoms within a safe and responsive relationship with patients and families is critical to providing trauma-informed care in the pediatric medical home.<sup>13</sup> We

<sup>a</sup>Division of General Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York; <sup>b</sup>Finger Lakes Children's Environmental Health Center, Rochester, New York; and <sup>c</sup>University of Massachusetts Medical Center, Foster Care Evaluation Services, Worcester, Massachusetts

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2021-054624>

Accepted for publication Oct 25, 2021

Address correspondence to: Sandra Jee, MD, MPH, University of Rochester Medical Center, Department of Pediatrics, Division of General Pediatrics, 601 Elmwood Ave, Box 777 Pediatrics, Rochester, NY 14642. Sandra\_Jee@urmc.rochester.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2022 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

**FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE:** The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

**FUNDING:** No external funding.

**POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The authors have indicated they have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

**COMPANION PAPER:** A companion to this article can be found online at [www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2021-051884](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2021-051884).

**To cite:** Jee S, Forkey H. Maximizing the Benefit of Screening for Adverse Childhood Experiences. *Pediatrics*. 2022;149(2):e2021054624

understand that there are many barriers to ensuring that those with identified adversities are linked to community resources, such as limited time, staff resources to reach beyond the clinical space, and competing clinical demands,<sup>14</sup> especially with the current pandemic in which acute COVID-19 concerns are taking precedence over preventive care.<sup>15</sup>

Consensus has highlighted the need to focus on safe, stable, and nurturing relationships and change the paradigm from simply identifying ACEs to promoting resilience.<sup>16</sup> Resilience-informed relational care<sup>15</sup> allows pediatricians to provide support for all children from the pediatric setting. Providers should create a safe and empowering environment to raise and respond to a family's specific social determinants of health (SDOHs) or ACE concerns. When needs exceed capacity to address these within the medical setting, pediatricians can partner with community organizations to link children to resources and trauma-informed services. This work includes preparing the next generation of providers to respond sensitively and appropriately to toxic stress symptoms and the SDOHs<sup>17</sup> and understanding the intersectionality of ACEs with SDOHs to promote equity.<sup>18</sup> Screening without appropriate office-based guidance and supported linkages to community resources appears to have limited evidence of benefit, as noted in the Loveday et al<sup>6</sup> review and may be potentially harmful; yet, pediatricians can use a resilience-informed team-based and community approach to assist families in navigating their most pressing health and social needs.

## ABBREVIATIONS

ACE: adverse childhood experience  
SDOH: social determinant of health

## REFERENCES

1. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *Am J Prev Med.* 1998;14(4): 245–258
2. Anda RF, Brown DW, Felitti VJ, Dube SR, Giles WH. Adverse childhood experiences and prescription drug use in a cohort study of adult HMO patients. *BMC Public Health.* 2008;8(1):198
3. Brown DW, Anda RF, Felitti VJ, et al. Adverse childhood experiences are associated with the risk of lung cancer: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Public Health.* 2010;10:20
4. Dong M, Anda RF, Felitti VJ, et al. The interrelatedness of multiple forms of childhood abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2004;28(7):771–784
5. Dube SR, Fairweather D, Pearson WS, Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Croft JB. Cumulative childhood stress and autoimmune diseases in adults. *Psychosom Med.* 2009;71(2):243–250
6. Loveday SL, Hall T, Constable L, et al. Screening for adverse childhood experiences in children: a systematic review. *Pediatrics.* 2022;149(2):e2021051884
7. Underwood E. California has begun screening for early childhood trauma, but critics urge caution. Available at: <https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/01/california-has-begun-screening-early-childhood-trauma-critics-urge-caution>. Accessed December 3, 2021
8. Anda RF, Porter LE, Brown DW. Inside the adverse childhood experience score: strengths, limitations, and misapplications. *Am J Prev Med.* 2020;59(2):293–295
9. Baldwin JR, Caspi A, Meehan AJ, et al. Population vs individual prediction of poor health from results of adverse childhood experiences screening. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2021;175(4):385–393
10. Campbell TL. Screening for adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) in primary care: a cautionary note. *JAMA.* 2020; 323(23):2379–2380
11. Conn AM, Szilagyi MA, Jee SH, Manly JT, Briggs R, Szilagyi PG. Parental perspectives of screening for adverse childhood experiences in pediatric primary care. *Fam Syst Health.* 2018;36(1):62–72
12. Austin AE. Screening for traumatic experiences in health care settings: a personal perspective from a trauma survivor. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2021;181(7):902–903
13. Finkelhor D, Berliner L. Screening for traumatic childhood experiences in health care settings. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2021;181(7):903–904
14. Jee SH, Conn A-M, Milne-Wenderlich A, et al. Providing trauma-informed pediatric care for underserved populations: Reflections on a teaching intervention. *Dev Child Welf.* 2019;2(1): 21–36
15. Baggio S, Vernaz N, Spechbach H, et al. Vulnerable patients forgo health care during the first wave of the Covid-19 pandemic. *Prev Med.* 2021;150:106696
16. Garner A, Yogman M; Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health, Section on Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, Council on Early Childhood. Preventing childhood toxic stress: partnering with families and communities to promote relational health. *Pediatrics.* 2021;148(2): e2021052582
17. Ragavan MI, Marcil LE, Garg A. Climate change as a social determinant of health. *Pediatrics.* 2020;145(5):e20193169
18. Gutschow B, Gray B, Ragavan MI, Sheffield PE, Phillipsborn RP, Jee SH. The intersection of pediatrics, climate change, and structural racism: ensuring health equity through climate justice. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care.* 2021;51(6):101028