## **Chart Conference Presentation – Grading Rubric**

Student Name:	Presentation Date:	
<b>Evaluator Name:</b>	Rotation Type/#:	
Topic of Presentation:		

learning objectives  Summarizes the case the case	Does not provide ≥ 3 learning objectives.  Does not include information on presentation/indications of the case, important features/natural history of the condition, or test results/outcomes/management olan.  Did not draw overall	Provides at least 3 learning objectives, but without use of action verbs to clearly communicate lecture goals.  Provides those facts of case, condition, and outcome/management, but does not clearly use critical thinking to reflect logical course of events or highlight teaching	objectives that u verbs* to clarify  Provides a well- overview of the outcome/manag attention to cour	developed case, condition, and ement, complete with
the case of the ca	on presentation/indications of the case, important features/natural history of the condition, or test results/outcomes/management plan.	condition, and outcome/management, but does not clearly use critical thinking to reflect logical course of events or highlight teaching	overview of the outcome/manag attention to cour	case, condition, and ement, complete with
	Did not draw overall	points.	Provides a well-developed overview of the case, condition, and outcome/management, complete with attention to course of events and highlighting teaching points.	
appropriate c	conclusions from the case. Presented facts only.	Applications to practice were implied, but not drawn explicitly. Or included <2 conclusions.	Provided >1 clear conclusions (take home points) that apply to genetic and/or genetic counseling practice.	
Rubric Component			No	Yes
Was the topic/case approved in advance by the supervisor?		by the supervisor?		
Did the student sadvance?	submit slides to supervis	sor for review 1 week in		
Were suggestion into final present	ns made in advance by station?	upervisor incorporated		
Were the present	tation and visual aids or	ganized?		
Were at least 2 d	ifferential diagnoses inc	cluded?		
	upplementary sources unlysupport the topic?	ised to		
Were references/	/sources cited?			
Removes all iden	ntifying patient informati	on from slides?		
Was delivery and	d length of presentation	appropriate?		
Able to satisfacto	orily address questions	from the audience?		
Overall comm	ents:			

<sup>\*</sup>mastery of learning objectives is to include verbs such as: identify, discuss, discover, demonstrate, evaluate, apply, compare, understand, analyze, generate versus poor agenda-like objectives of: present case, review conclusions.